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Abstract
Genetic variability estimates in maize (Zea mays L) landraces is an important information for trait improvement for food and nutrition security. Genetic diversity information in the Sub-Sahara African maize landraces is lacking. Agromorphological trait evaluation is a practical approach for genetic diversity estimation. Our objective was to assess genetic diversity among 60 IPGRI maize landraces held in IITA, Ibadan, against a check, «Obatanpa GH». Twenty-one quantitative traits and five qualitative traits were field-evaluated in Ghana in 2011 and 2012 wet seasons in a three-replicated randomized complete block design experiment. Large phenotypic variation was identified in all traits except cob colour, principal grain colour, and number of ears per plant. A moderate within population variation based on pooled Shannon Diversity Index was 0.68 ± 0.28. Between population variation was largest in earliness, anthesis-silking interval, and grain yield. Genetic similarity of 0.11 ± 0.00 based on squared correlation coefficient confirmed a large variability among accessions. Two major clusters, I and II, were separated on the basis of maturity characteristics, anthesis-silking interval, plant and ear heights, and grain yield. The first two principal components explained 67.89 % of the total variance. Four genotypes, TZm-1125 and TZm-1119 (5.0 Mg ha⁻¹), TZm-1119 (5.4 Mg ha⁻¹), and TZm-1139 (6.2 Mg ha⁻¹) competed with the check (5.8 Mg ha⁻¹) in grain yield. The IPGRI genotypes represent a large genetic reserve awaiting exploitation for trait improvement.
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Introduction
Maize, an important food crop for over 70% of the population of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) requires continuous improvement in productivity and quality to meet current and future demands for food, feed and industrial purposes. This region faces rapid growth in population (Barriere et al, 2010) and constant threat of the negative effects of climate change, particularly, heat and drought stress to crops (Cairns et al, 2011). Current maize productivity in SSA stands at less than 2.0 Mg ha⁻¹, except for Ethiopia and South Africa where grain yield surpasses 3.0 Mg ha⁻¹ on farmer’s field (Abate et al, 2015). Having inadequate grain yield, Africa imports 28% of her maize (IITA, 2012).

Genetic variation is indispensable for effective maize improvement and relies on collection, conservation, and evaluation information. Although SSA has made significant collection of local maize landraces held in the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, information on the genetic architecture of the collection is limited, leading to underutilization and reliance on the well-studied productive exotic varieties for improvement. Fowler et al (2000) reported of at least 73% reliance on exotic maize in SSA for trait improvement owing to supposedly less productivity of tropical maize (Downswell et al, 1996; CIMMYT, 1988).

Generally believed to be introduced into Africa, the landraces of SSA constitute a well-adapted, heterogeneous, and dynamic set which exhibit stability to the local environment, resistance to pest and diseases, drought tolerance, and low requirement of agricultural input. Without information on genetic diversity in the landraces, selection of diverse parents to maximize genetic variation and exploit heterotic groups is likely to be difficult. An exception is the ongoing utilization of the drought tolerant maize using varieties adapted to African climate in the drought-tolerance breeding program (AATF, 2015).

Among the phenotypic, biochemical, and molecular methods (Mohammadi and Prasanna, 2003; Pejic et al, 1998) employed in assessment of genetic diversity, the phenotypic method offers an inexpensive approach for developing countries where labour cost is considerably low. Although the morphological characters are limited by environmental influence, low heritability, and chances of biased estimates of genetic distances compared with biochemical and molecular distances, they reveal comparable patterns of genetic variation in a species, and are the first markers used by breeders to identify promising parents for establishment of breeding populations (Camussi et al, 1985).

Current interest in the genetic diversity in African...
maize using phenotypic markers has led to the estimation of genetic distance of 0.30 (Bayene et al. 2006) in 62 highland Ethiopian maize based on 15 morphological traits, a lower value than the SSR-based and AFLP-based mean genetic distance of 0.49 and 0.57, respectively. Obeng-Antwi (2007) reported congruence between phenotypic Euclidean distances and AFLP-based Jaccard distances in two populations of maize, but no correlation between the two distance measures. Cluster analysis of 294 landraces originating from Malawi, Zambia, and Zimbabwe using 34 phenotypic traits partitioned the set into three non-overlapping groups (Magorokosho, 2006). Asare et al. (2016) assessed a morphology-based genetic diversity in maize of lowland, mid-altitude and highland regions of Africa and reported large variability among the accessions with genetic similarity values of 0.26 ± 0.18. Similarly, morphological evaluation of 15 maize landraces in Northern Tanzania (Nestory and Reuben, 2016), 43 and 98 local and improved cultivars in Central and Northern Benin (Salami et al., 2015) and 87 landraces of Southern Benin (Salami et al., 2017) revealed large differences among accessions.

Some elite African inbred lines and accessions have in the past contributed to maize improvement in exotic lines, as those genotypes were reported to demonstrate good yield potential, disease resistance, and overall favorable agronomic performance (Nelson and Goodman, 2008; Tallury and Goodman, 1999).

The International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI) of the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) maize collection constitutes a subset of the entire IITA collection, of which nothing is known about their genetic background, relationships and their geographical origins. This research was designed to study the agromorphological diversity and relationships among the IPGRI landrace maize population.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

Sixty tropical maize accessions sampled from the maize collection of the IITA Genetic Resources Center, Ibadan, were studied (Table 1). The accessions were collected by the International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Italy (currently Biodiversity International). Records on their geographical origin are not available. An open-pollinated genotype, «Obatanpa GH», developed by the Crops Research Institute (CRI) of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Ghana, in collaboration with IITA, CIMMYT, and Sasakawa Global 2000, served as check.

Field preparation

The field study was conducted in the wet seasons of March to July 2011 and April to August 2012 in the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology Agricultural Research Station, Anwomaso, Ghana. This station is located at latitude 6°41′28.4″N and longitude 1°30′58.8″W at an elevation of 277 masl and mean annual rainfall and temperature of 1,500 mm and 20°C, respectively. The accessions were planted on single row 6 m × 0.6 m plots with 15 plants, 0.5 m spacing between hills and 1.0 m alley between rows in a randomized complete block design with three replicates making a density of 42,000 plants ha⁻¹. Rows were thinned to one plant per hill. Irrigation was performed as and when needed. Plants were fertilized at knee height by side dressing at 5.0 g per hill with 16-16-16 N-P₂O₅-K₂O equivalent to 120:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ and top dressing with 50 kg ha⁻¹ sulphate of ammonia at ear emergence. Pre-emergence weeds were controlled with Round-up ready at a rate of 4 l ha⁻¹. Post-emergence weeds were cleared by hand hoeing. Pests were controlled with Conpyrifos (48 %; 1.0 -1.5 l ha⁻¹) and Cymethoate Super (1.0 - 1.5 l ha⁻¹).

Morpho-phenological trait evaluation

At physiological maturity, maize plants were evaluated using the IBPGR (1991) maize descriptor list. Five qualitative traits, namely, silk color (pale yellow = 1; red = 2), cob colour (0 = red; 5 = white), kernel arrangement (1 = regular; 2 = irregular; 3 = straight; and 4 = spiral), kernel texture (1 = flint; 3 = mixed; 5 = dent), and principal grain colour (0 = white; 1 = other colors) were measured as frequency on a plot basis. Twenty-one quantitative traits, namely, anthesis date (AD, number of days to 50% pollen shed), silking date (SD, number of days to 50% silking of at least 1 cm long), anthesis-silking interval (ASI, calculated as SD-AD), and tassel length (TL, length in cm of tassel top dressing with 50 kg ha⁻¹ Béyene et al., 2006; Obeng-Antwi, 2017).

Table 1 - The IPGRI African maize landraces evaluated in 2011 and 2012 rainy season in Ghana.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Accession Name</th>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Accession Name</th>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Accession Name</th>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Accession Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TZm-1097</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>TZm-1119</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>TZm-1139</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>TZm-1182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TZm-1099</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>TZm-1120</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>TZm-1141</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>TZm-1183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TZm-1100</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>TZm-1121</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>TZm-1142</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>TZm-1184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TZm-1101</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>TZm-1122</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>TZm-1143</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>TZm-1185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TZm-1103</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>TZm-1123</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>TZm-1144</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>TZm-1186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TZm-1105</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>TZm-1125</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>TZm-1145</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>TZm-1188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TZm-1106</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>TZm-1126</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>TZm-1147</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>TZm-1190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>TZm-1108</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>TZm-1128</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>TZm-1148</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>TZm-1193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>TZm-1109</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>TZm-1129</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>TZm-1149</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>TZm-1194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>TZm-1110</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>TZm-1130</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>TZm-1150</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>TZm-1195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>TZm-1111</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>TZm-1131</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>TZm-1151</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>TZm-1211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>TZm-1112</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>TZm-1132</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>TZm-1152</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>TZm-1212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>TZm-1114</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>TZm-1136</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>TZm-1153</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>TZm-1213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>TZm-1117</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>TZm-1137</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>TZm-1156</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>TZm-1214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>TZm-1118</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>TZm-1138</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>TZm-1180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>TZm-1215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from flag leaf to tassel tip) were determined on ten competitive plants per plot. Other traits were ear leaf length and ear leaf width (ELL and ELW, length and width in cm, respectively, of leaf which subtends the ear and cob in mm of uppermost ear), number of ears per plant (EN), number of kernels per row (NKR, average number of kernels in two rows on opposite sides of cob) were evaluated in Ghana in 2011 and 2012. 

Table 2 - Means, maximum, minimum, and mean squares of 21 agro-morphological traits on 60 IPGRI maize accessions evaluated in Ghana in 2011 and 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Overall Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Landrace</th>
<th>Check</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AD (days)</td>
<td>54.8 ± 6.2</td>
<td>54.8 ± 6.2</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SD (days)</td>
<td>57.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>57.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ASI (days)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TL (cm)</td>
<td>45.0 ± 8.3</td>
<td>45.1 ± 8.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TBL (cm)</td>
<td>81.5 ± 13.8</td>
<td>81.6 ± 13.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PNR (cm)</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PH (cm)</td>
<td>191.5 ± 47.0</td>
<td>191.9 ± 47.1</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>EH (cm)</td>
<td>97.2 ± 35.4</td>
<td>97.6 ± 35.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SD (mm)</td>
<td>19.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>20.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ST (cm)</td>
<td>69.0 ± 29.1</td>
<td>69.8 ± 29.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>EL (cm)</td>
<td>15.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>15.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>EM (mm)</td>
<td>37.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>37.7 ± 6.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CD (cm)</td>
<td>25.7 ± 4.8</td>
<td>25.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>NRE</td>
<td>13.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>13.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>NKR</td>
<td>28.6 ± 7.2</td>
<td>28.9 ± 7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>NK (g)</td>
<td>52.2 ± 15.6</td>
<td>53.5 ± 12.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>KL (mm)</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>KW (g)</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>KT (mm)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>YLD (Mg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Standard deviation; 2Minimum; 3Maximum; 4Coefficient of variation; AD = days to 50% anthesis; SD = days to 50% silking; ASI = anthesis-silking interval; TL = tassel length; ELL = ear leaf length; ELW = ear leaf width; PH = plant height; EH = ear height; SD = stalk diameter; ST = stalk diameter; SG = stay-green; EL = ear length; ED = ear diameter; CD = cob diameter; EN = ear number per plant; NRE = number of rows per ear; NKR = number of kernels per row; HKWT = hundred kernel weight; KL = kernel length; KW = kernel width; KT = kernel thickness; YLD = grain yield. **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.

Data analyses

Frequencies of plants per plot of the five qualitative scoring categories were calculated. For the quantitative traits, means, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values, as well as coefficient of variation (CV) were calculated. Using the entry means (X) and standard deviation (σ), the accession scores were divided into six phenotypic classes (χ) of equal width of 1.00, with class 1 values as X<sub>1</sub>-X<sub>3</sub> to class 6 values as X<sub>6</sub>-X<sub>+3</sub>. The frequency of genotypes in the ith class (P<sub>i</sub>) or simply, the probability of finding the ith class, was used to calculate the standardized Shannon Diversity Index, \( I = -\sum_{i} P_{i} \ln P_{i} / \ln n \) to assess the within-population variation (Shannon, 1948).

In this model, \( Y_{\text{gen}} = \mu + g + e + g_{e} + b_{\text{rep}} + r_{\text{rep}} \) is the effect due to environment and \( j \) and \( n \) is the number of individuals of the ith class and the total number of individuals in the population, respectively. \( n \) is the number of classes. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the randomized complete block model assuming independent and heterogeneous error variance of environments was performed to test for differences in means: \( Y_{\text{obs}} = \mu + g + e + g_{e} + b_{\text{rep}} + r_{\text{rep}} \).
Results

Variability in agromorphological traits

Ample variability in kernel texture and kernel arrangement, consisting of 55.68% flints and 44.32% dent types distributed over 51% regular, 21% irregular, 18% spiral, and 10% straight kernels was identified. In contrast, color of silks (86% yellow), color of cobs (98% white), and color of kernels (94% mixed) were predominantly uniform and majority were not typical of the dent, white with regular kernel arrangement of the West African maize genotypes (Asare et al., 2016). Among the mixed colour, yellow was dominant, followed by purple and blue.

Pollen shed and silk emergence occurred on average at 54.8 ± 6.2 days and 57.6 ± 6.3 days, respectively, giving a protractancy of 2.8 ± 1.5 days (Table 2). Three accessions, TZm-1148, TZm-1149, and TZm-1150 were three to five days earlier than the check in both anthesis of 45.5 ± 1.3 days, 44.0 ± 1.8 days, 45.8 ± 4.0 days, and silking of 48.2 ± 2.7 days, 49.3 ± 1.7 days, and 45.8 ± 3.4 days, respectively (Supplementary Table 1).

Table 3 - Maximum and average similarity of 60 IPGRI maize populations and check based on squared correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obatanpa</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>TZm-1123</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>TZm-1150</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1097</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>TZm-1125</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>TZm-1151</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1099</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>TZm-1126</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>TZm-1152</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1100</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>TZm-1128</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>TZm-1153</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1101</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>TZm-1129</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>TZm-1154</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1103</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>TZm-1130</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>TZm-1160</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1105</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>TZm-1131</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>TZm-1162</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1106</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>TZm-1132</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>TZm-1163</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1108</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>TZm-1136</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>TZm-1164</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1109</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>TZm-1137</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>TZm-1165</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1110</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>TZm-1138</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>TZm-1167</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1111</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>TZm-1139</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>TZm-1168</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1112</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>TZm-1141</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>TZm-1169</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1114</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>TZm-1142</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>TZm-1170</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1117</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>TZm-1143</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>TZm-1171</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1118</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>TZm-1144</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>TZm-1172</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1119</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>TZm-1145</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>TZm-1211</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1120</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>TZm-1147</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>TZm-1212</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1121</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>TZm-1148</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>TZm-1213</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZm-1122</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>TZm-1149</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>TZm-1214</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Maximum; 2Standard Deviation

was rapid giving anthesis-silking interval of less than 2 days in TZm-1188 (1.2 ± 0.7 days), TZm-1183 (1.3 ± 1.3 days), TZm-1118 (1.4 ± 1.1 days), TZm-1106 (1.4 ± 2.0 days), TZm-1120 (1.8 ± 1.1 days), TZm-1149 (1.8 ± 1.1 days), TZm-1125 (1.9 ± 1.0 days) and TZm-1215 (1.9 ± 1.7 days) (Supplementary Table 1).

Average plant height was 191.5 ± 47.0 cm and ear height was 97.2 ± 35.4 cm (Table 2). The highest and lowest plant heights were recorded for TZm-1111 (236.1 ± 46.4 cm) and TZm-1149 (125.9 ± 40.9 cm), respectively, whereas for ear height it was in TZm-1132 (160.7 ± 46.0 cm) and TZm-1149 (55.0 ± 25.3 cm), respectively (Supplementary Table 1).

On the basis of highly significant mean squares, substantial differences in mean values, and large coefficients of variation, the phenotypic differences between the landraces and the check were deemed to be highest in anthesis and silking dates, anthesis-silking interval, plant and ear height, stay-green, hundred kernel weight, and grain yield (Table 2). Compared to the check, the landraces exhibited late maturity, short anthesis-silking interval, large values of plant height, ear height and stalk diameter, but lower scores for ear and kernel characteristics and grain yield (Table 2).

Mean number of rows per ear (13.9 ± 2.0) and number of kernels per row (28.9 ± 7.2) of the landraces were comparable to the check (13.4 ± 2.0) and (28.9 ± 8.3), respectively. Mean one hundred kernel weight of landraces 53.5 ± 12.9 g was considerably lower than the check (83.6 ± 14.3 g). With regard to prolificacy, all plants had at least one ear with 2.3% bearing two to three ears to give average of 1.0 ± 0.2 in a range of 1.0 to 1.3 ears per plant. Majority of the individual plants of TZm-1184, TZm-1120, TZm-1183 and TZm-1097 had 2 to 3 ears. The landrace populations, compared to the check, had low mean values of stay-green (69.0 ± 22.1% vs. 75.2 ± 3.5%), ear length (15.0 ± 2.9 cm vs. 17.56 ± 2.2 cm), ear diameter (37.2 ± 6.6 mm vs. 46.0 ± 4.41 mm), kernel...
length (8.5 ± 1.2 mm vs. 10.3 ± 1.4 mm) kernel width (8.4 ± 0.91 mm vs. 10.0 ± 0.86 mm) and kernel thickness (4.6 ± 0.9 mm vs. 5.4 ± 0.47 mm).

Mean grain yield of landraces varied from 0.5 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) to 8.1 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) with a mean of 4.0 ± 1.6 Mg ha\(^{-1}\), and was lower than the check, which had range 2.9 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) to 8.9 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) and mean 5.8 ± 2.3 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). On accession mean basis, mean grain yield varied from the lowest value of 2.2 ± 0.4 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) in TZm-1132 to the highest value of 6.2 ± 1.7 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) in TZm-1139. Grain yield in the landraces were governed by large number of rows per ear exceeding 13.0 and number of kernels per row greater than 30, whereas in the check a major contributor to the high yield was one hundred kernel weight over 80.0 g. Other genotypes with grain yield of at least 5.0 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) included TZm-1119 (5.38 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)), TZm-1117 (5.0 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)), and TZm-1125 (5.0 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) (Table 3).

The within population variation assessed by SDI ranged from 0.12 (ear number) to 0.93 (grain yield) with a mean of 0.72 ± 0.23. The variability was neither equally present in all populations for the same trait, nor for all traits in the same population. Mean SDI values were high for anthesis date (0.89 ± 0.13), silking date (0.86 ± 0.16), anthesis-silking interval (0.84 ± 0.16), plant height (0.82 ± 0.09), one hundred kernel weight (0.86 ± 0.19), and grain yield (0.93 ± 0.07). Among the populations, mean SDI values ranged from 0.38 ± 0.16 in TZm-1148 to 0.84 ± 0.18 in TZm-1106 (Supplementary Table 2).

**Genetic distance and cluster analyses**

Genetic distance based on pairwise squared correlations revealed widespread and low similarities among accessions. Genetic similarities ranged from 0.00 to 0.78 with an average of 0.11 ± 0.13. Minimum distances of all accession pairs were 0.00. Fourteen
percent of the pairwise distances were 0.00 making some accession pairs very distinct from others. The closest pairs were TZm-1148/TZm-1152 (0.78), TZm-1121/TZm-1195 (0.77), TZm-1128/TZm-1132 (0.75). The check was very similar to TZm-1101 (0.67). Based on average distances, the most distant accessions were TZm-1156 (0.05), TZm-1184 (0.05), TZm-1119 (0.06), TZm-1097 (0.06) (Table 3).

The UPGMA cluster analysis produced two clusters. Cluster I with 36 accessions had range and average distance of 0.00 to 0.78 and 0.11 ± 0.12, respectively. The check variety grouped with cluster I (Figure 1). Members of cluster I were early maturing with mean days to anthesis and silking of 53.7 ± 5.93, and 56.3 ± 5.8 days, short mean plant height of 183.57 ± 48.2 cm, ear height 91.6 ± 34.9, stalk diameter 19.4 ± 3.6, possessed large mean kernel width 8.6 ± 0.9 mm and mean kernel length 8.8 ± 1.1, mean hundred kernel weight of 57.2 ± 11.9 g and largest mean grain yield of 4.2 ± 1.7 Mg ha⁻¹. The 24 members of cluster II were characterized by intermediate to late maturing genotypes with mean days to anthesis and silking of 56.5 ± 6.2 and 56.4 ± 6.5, respectively, long mean anthesis-silking interval of 2.96 ± 1.5 days, tall plants 204.7 ± 42.2, large values of ear height 106.8 ± 34.5 cm, low hundred kernel weight 48.2 ± 12.3 g) and low mean grain yield of 3.59 ± 1.5 Mg ha⁻¹.

Principal components analysis

Of the five principal components with eigenvalues greater than one, the first two were significant (P < 0.05) and explained 67.89% of the total variance (Table 4). The PC1 explained 42.2% of the variance and delineated important traits as anthesis and silking dates, tassel length, ear leaf length, ear leaf width, plant height, ear height, stalk diameter, ear length, and number of kernels per row. The PC2 explained 24.7% of the total variance and identified ear diameter, hundred kernel weight, kernel length, kernel width, and grain yield as major contributors to the variance. A plot of the first two PCs (Figure 2) depicted a high correlation in earliness and plant architectural traits with a range of values spanning 0.71 to 0.94 and were inversely associated with ear diameter, one hundred kernel weight, kernel width, kernel length and grain yield and yield components at -0.71 to -0.85 (Table 4).

The PC1 axis delineated the accessions high grain yield to include TZm-19, TZm-17, TZm-1125, TZm-1122, TZm-1212, TZm-1144, TZm-1106, and TZm-1183. PC2 axis distinguished the early maturing and short height genotypes such as TZm-1147, TZm-1148, TZm-1149, TZm-1150, TZm-1152, TZm-1144, TZm-1215, «Obatanpa GH», TZm-1132, TZm-1139, TZm-1149, and TZm-1121 were isolated from all other accessions. Both cluster analysis and the principal component biplots confirmed that accessions which developed late were not productive (Figure 2).

Discussion

In the current study, we examined 60 landraces belonging to the IPGRI collection which have no available passport data. With no passport data, the likelihood of overlooking this group in characterization studies could be high. However, being landraces which have emerged from genetic, evolutionary, and anthropogenic events, they are likely to exhibit a large range of genetic variability, whose estimation is needed for sustainable exploitation. The large significant within and between population variability, and the low mean genetic similarity values underscored the existence of substantial genetic diversity within this collection. Our results concur with previous reports that the African maize landrace collection contains a large genetic variability in morphological traits. In this study, the large coefficients of variability for anthesis-silking interval, ear height, and grain yield were comparable to Asare et al (2016) report of 36 to 45%, 32 to 36%, and 49 to 61%, respectively, though variation in stay green was higher in the IPGRI collection. Variability in ear height was about twofold larger than those of 77 Ghana accessions with 10.4% to 31.7% (Obeng-Antwi et al, 2012) and 19.65% and 20.43% (Salami et al, 2015, 2017), respectively, in local and improved varieties of 87 southern, 43 central and 98 Northern Benin landraces. Plant height and ear height were larger than those of European traditional populations with 164 to 166 cm and 73 to 77 cm, respectively (Hartings et al, 2008; Rebourg et al, 2001) but similar to the Benin landraces of 202 cm and 110 cm, respectively (Salami et al, 2017).

Such a large phenotypic diversity this much, reflects the population's heterogeneous geographical
genetic diversity in maize

Figure 2 - Principal components analysis of the IPGRI maize landraces evaluated in Ghana in 2011 and 2012 on 21 agro-morphological traits. (A) Accessions biplot (B) Traits biplot.

origin typical of many locations in Africa, a differential fitness to the environment, flexibility and survival in changing environmental conditions, as well as a wide range of farmer varietal preferences. The large diversity is consistent with the hypothesis of landraces harboring a reserve of rich genetic diversity for important economic traits. The data obtained will guide parental selection for maize improvement and broadening of the genetic base of breeding populations, and provide efficient conservation. The SDI values indicate a medium to large variation within the accessions, enough to select parents and make progress with yield enhancement. Earliness impacts drought-tolerance by escape of the short rainfall season typical of Sub-Saharan Africa. An ASI period of 2-4 days is considered ideal for drought tolerance (Dass et al., 2001). Accessions TZm-1106, TZm-1183, and TZm-1188 with short mean anthesis-silking interval of 1.2 and occurrence of protogynous genotypes may be considered important for drought tolerance. The wide variability in mean grain yield of 2.16 to 6.18 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) is of particular importance as it depicts availability of both substantial variation and high grain yield genotypes may be considered important for drought tolerance. The early-maturing, short anthesis-silking interval, short plants and high yield genotypes of cluster I could be chosen for drought tolerance and offset the usual trade-off in earliness and grain yield (Barriere et al., 2010). The tall plants with wide stalk diameter genotypes of cluster II could equally be chosen exclusively for high biomass. Nevertheless, their long tassels and long ear leaves cannot be overlooked by reason of their low but highly significant correlation with grain yield (r = 0.27 to 0.32, P < 0.001).
clusters represent uncorrelated groups which may be useful for future heterotic breeding as their trait performance may be governed by different sets of alleles.

The first statistically significant PCs interpret the response to variability due to earliness and small sized plants, whereas the second PC is interpreted as a response of late maturity to decreasing yield, a phenomenon that is less common in maize. The PCA results support a generally clear separation between plant architectural traits and yield and yield components and support a data reduction to 15 discriminant traits, the remaining being noise. Our results suggest that different mechanisms affecting maturity, architectural, and grain yield traits control variation in the populations.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, a large phenotypic variability in morphological traits, and the divergent genotypes exhibited by the IPGRI maize collection indicate a rich reserve of genetic diversity and alleles. Combined with the identification of two disparate clusters, sufficient information is present to include promising genotypes in maize improvement programmes via high grain yield and a combined early-maturing and short anthesis-silking interval for drought tolerance, a combination of traits most relevant to Sub-Saharan Africa maize productivity. The findings would also be useful in maize conservation management.
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